Efficient Algorithms for Computing the Betti Numbers of Semi-algebraic Sets

Saugata Basu

saugata@math.gatech.edu

School of Mathematics, Georgia Tech

The basic objects of real algebraic geometry are semi-algebraic sets.

- The basic objects of real algebraic geometry are semi-algebraic sets.
- Subsets of R^k defined by a formula involving a finite number of polynomial equalities and inequalities. A *basic* semi-algebraic set is one defined by a conjunction of weak inequalities of the form $P \ge 0$.

- The basic objects of real algebraic geometry are semi-algebraic sets.
- Subsets of R^k defined by a formula involving a finite number of polynomial equalities and inequalities. A *basic* semi-algebraic set is one defined by a conjunction of weak inequalities of the form $P \ge 0$.
- They are very flexible, but have very controlled topology.

- The basic objects of real algebraic geometry are semi-algebraic sets.
- Subsets of R^k defined by a formula involving a finite number of polynomial equalities and inequalities. A *basic* semi-algebraic set is one defined by a conjunction of weak inequalities of the form $P \ge 0$.
- They are very flexible, but have very controlled topology.
- They arise in many areas of mathematics, as configurations spaces (in robotic motion planning, molecular chemistry etc.), CAD models and many other applications.

- The basic objects of real algebraic geometry are semi-algebraic sets.
- Subsets of R^k defined by a formula involving a finite number of polynomial equalities and inequalities. A *basic* semi-algebraic set is one defined by a conjunction of weak inequalities of the form $P \ge 0$.
- They are very flexible, but have very controlled topology.
- They arise in many areas of mathematics, as configurations spaces (in robotic motion planning, molecular chemistry etc.), CAD models and many other applications.

Closed under union, intersection, complementation and linear projection.

- Closed under union, intersection, complementation and linear projection.
- They satisfy various finiteness properties. Finite number of connected components, finite Betti numbers etc. In particular, compact semi-algebraic sets are finitely triangulable.

- Closed under union, intersection, complementation and linear projection.
- They satisfy various finiteness properties. Finite number of connected components, finite Betti numbers etc. In particular, compact semi-algebraic sets are finitely triangulable.
- Uniform bounds on their topological complexity: number of connected components, Betti numbers etc.

- Closed under union, intersection, complementation and linear projection.
- They satisfy various finiteness properties. Finite number of connected components, finite Betti numbers etc. In particular, compact semi-algebraic sets are finitely triangulable.
- Uniform bounds on their topological complexity: number of connected components, Betti numbers etc.
- Measuring complexity in terms of :

- Closed under union, intersection, complementation and linear projection.
- They satisfy various finiteness properties. Finite number of connected components, finite Betti numbers etc. In particular, compact semi-algebraic sets are finitely triangulable.
- Uniform bounds on their topological complexity: number of connected components, Betti numbers etc.
- Measuring complexity in terms of :
 - Number of polynomials : n (controls the combinatorial complexity).

- Closed under union, intersection, complementation and linear projection.
- They satisfy various finiteness properties. Finite number of connected components, finite Betti numbers etc. In particular, compact semi-algebraic sets are finitely triangulable.
- Uniform bounds on their topological complexity: number of connected components, Betti numbers etc.
- Measuring complexity in terms of :
 - Number of polynomials : n (controls the combinatorial complexity).
 - Degree bound : d (controls the algebraic complexity).

- Closed under union, intersection, complementation and linear projection.
- They satisfy various finiteness properties. Finite number of connected components, finite Betti numbers etc. In particular, compact semi-algebraic sets are finitely triangulable.
- Uniform bounds on their topological complexity: number of connected components, Betti numbers etc.
- Measuring complexity in terms of :
 - Number of polynomials : n (controls the combinatorial complexity).
 - Degree bound : d (controls the algebraic complexity).
 - Dimension of the ambient space : k.

Studying topology of real algebraic varieties is an important mathematical problem.

- Studying topology of real algebraic varieties is an important mathematical problem.
- Semi-algebraic sets occur as configuration spaces in applications. Computing topological information of such spaces is important.

- Studying topology of real algebraic varieties is an important mathematical problem.
- Semi-algebraic sets occur as configuration spaces in applications. Computing topological information of such spaces is important.
- Studying certain questions in quantitative real algebraic geometry. For instance, existence of single exponential sized triangulations.

- Studying topology of real algebraic varieties is an important mathematical problem.
- Semi-algebraic sets occur as configuration spaces in applications. Computing topological information of such spaces is important.
- Studying certain questions in quantitative real algebraic geometry. For instance, existence of single exponential sized triangulations.
- Recent work in complexity theory (Cucker, Buergisser) on the real version of counting complexity classes.

- Studying topology of real algebraic varieties is an important mathematical problem.
- Semi-algebraic sets occur as configuration spaces in applications. Computing topological information of such spaces is important.
- Studying certain questions in quantitative real algebraic geometry. For instance, existence of single exponential sized triangulations.
- Recent work in complexity theory (Cucker, Buergisser) on the real version of counting complexity classes.
- Some ideas may be useful in designing algorithms for computing homology groups in other contexts.

Effective method of decomposing semi-algebraic sets.

Effective method of decomposing semi-algebraic sets.



Effective method of decomposing semi-algebraic sets.



Effective method of decomposing semi-algebraic sets.



Complexity is double exponential in the dimension.

 $(O(nd))^{2^k}$

Classical Result

Theorem 1 (Oleinik and Petrovsky, Thom, Milnor) Let $S \subset R^k$ be the set defined by the conjunction of n inequalities,

 $P_1 \ge 0, \dots, P_n \ge 0,$ $P_i \in [X_1, \dots, X_k], \operatorname{deg}(P_i) \le d, 1 \le i \le n.$

Classical Result

Theorem 1 (Oleinik and Petrovsky, Thom, Milnor) Let $S \subset R^k$ be the set defined by the conjunction of n inequalities,

 $P_1 \ge 0, \dots, P_n \ge 0,$ $P_i \in [X_1, \dots, X_k], \operatorname{deg}(P_i) \le d, 1 \le i \le n.$

Then,

$$\sum_{i} b_i(S) \leq nd(2nd-1)^{k-1} = O(nd)^k.$$

Tightness

The above bound is actually quite tight. Example: Let

$$P_i = L_{i,1}^2 \cdots L_{i,\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}^2 - \epsilon,$$

where the L_{ij} 's are generic linear polynomials and $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently small. The set *S* defined by

 $P_1 \ge 0, \dots, P_n \ge 0$ has $\Omega(nd)^k$ connected components and hence $b_0(S) = \Omega(nd)^k$.

Tightness

The above bound is actually quite tight. Example: Let

$$P_i = L_{i,1}^2 \cdots L_{i,\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}^2 - \epsilon,$$

where the L_{ij} 's are generic linear polynomials and $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently small. The set *S* defined by $P_1 \ge 0, \ldots, P_n \ge 0$ has $\Omega(nd)^k$ connected components and hence $b_0(S) = \Omega(nd)^k$.



Tightness

The above bound is actually quite tight. Example: Let

$$P_i = L_{i,1}^2 \cdots L_{i,\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}^2 - \epsilon,$$

where the L_{ij} 's are generic linear polynomials and $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently small. The set *S* defined by $P_1 \ge 0, \ldots, P_n \ge 0$ has $\Omega(nd)^k$ connected components and

hence $b_0(S) = \Omega(nd)^k$.



What about the higher Betti Numbers ?

• Cannot construct examples such that $b_i(S) = \Omega(nd)^k$ for i > 0.

What about the higher Betti Numbers ?

- Cannot construct examples such that $b_i(S) = \Omega(nd)^k$ for i > 0.
- The technique used for proving the above result does not help: Replace the semi-algebraic set S by another set bounded by a smooth algebraic hypersurface of degree nd having the same homotopy type as S. Then bound the Betti numbers of this hypersurface using Morse theory and the Bezout bound on the number of solutions of a system of polynomial equations.

Picture Proof of Thom-Milnor Bound



Picture Proof of Thom-Milnor Bound



Complexity of Algorithms

Double exponential vs single exponential vs polynomial time.

Complexity of Algorithms

- Double exponential vs single exponential vs polynomial time.
- Problems that can be solved in single exponential time: Testing emptiness, deciding connectivity, computing descriptions of the connected components, computing the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a given semi-algebraic set.

Complexity of Algorithms

- Double exponential vs single exponential vs polynomial time.
- Problems that can be solved in single exponential time: Testing emptiness, deciding connectivity, computing descriptions of the connected components, computing the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a given semi-algebraic set.
- Problems for which no single exponential time algorithm is known: Computing the higher Betti numbers, computing semi-algebraic triangulations, or semi-algebraic stratifications.

New Results

Single exponential time algorithm for computing the first Betti number of semi-algebraic sets (with R. Pollack, M-F. Roy).

New Results

- Single exponential time algorithm for computing the first Betti number of semi-algebraic sets (with R. Pollack, M-F. Roy).
- Polynomial time algorithm to compute the top Betti numbers of semi-algebraic sets defined by quadratic inequalities.
Another approach

• Let A_1, \ldots, A_n be subcomplexes of a finite simplicial complex A such that $A = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$. Let $C^i(A)$ denote the -vector space of i co-chains of A, and $C^*(A) = \bigoplus_i C^i(A)$.

Another approach

- Let A_1, \ldots, A_n be subcomplexes of a finite simplicial complex A such that $A = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$. Let $C^i(A)$ denote the -vector space of i co-chains of A, and $C^*(A) = \bigoplus_i C^i(A)$.
- We will denote by $A_{\alpha_0,...,\alpha_p}$ the subcomplex $A_{\alpha_0} \cap \cdots \cap A_{\alpha_p}$.

Another approach

- Let A_1, \ldots, A_n be subcomplexes of a finite simplicial complex A such that $A = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$. Let $C^i(A)$ denote the -vector space of i co-chains of A, and $C^*(A) = \bigoplus_i C^i(A)$.
- We will denote by $A_{\alpha_0,...,\alpha_p}$ the subcomplex $A_{\alpha_0} \cap \cdots \cap A_{\alpha_p}$.
- The following sequence of homomorphisms is exact.

$$0 \longrightarrow C^*(A) \xrightarrow{r} \prod_{\alpha_0} C^*(A_{\alpha_0}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} C^*(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1})$$
$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod_{\alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_p} C^*(A_{\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_p}) \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod_{\alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_{p+1}} C^*(A_{\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_{p+1}}) \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots$$

Mayer-Vietoris Double Complex

We now consider the following bigraded double complex $\mathcal{M}^{p,q}$, with a total differential $D = \delta + (-1)^p d$, where



Double Complex



The Associated Total Complex



 A sequence of vector spaces progressively approximating the homology of the total complex. More precisely,

- A sequence of vector spaces progressively approximating the homology of the total complex. More precisely,
- a sequence of bi-graded vector spaces and differentials $(E_r, d_r: E_r^{p,q} \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}),$

- A sequence of vector spaces progressively approximating the homology of the total complex. More precisely,
- a sequence of bi-graded vector spaces and differentials $(E_r, d_r: E_r^{p,q} \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}),$

- A sequence of vector spaces progressively approximating the homology of the total complex. More precisely,
- a sequence of bi-graded vector spaces and differentials $(E_r, d_r: E_r^{p,q} \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}),$
- $E_{r+1} = H(E_r, d_r),$
- $E_{\infty} = H^*($ Associated Total Complex).

Spectral Sequence



Two Spectral Sequences

• There are two spectral sequences associated with $\mathcal{M}^{p,q}$ both converging to $H^*_D(\mathcal{M})$. The first terms of these are:

Two Spectral Sequences

• There are two spectral sequences associated with $\mathcal{M}^{p,q}$ both converging to $H^*_D(\mathcal{M})$. The first terms of these are:

 $E_1 = H_{\delta}(\mathcal{M}), E_2 = H_d H_{\delta}(\mathcal{M})$

Two Spectral Sequences

• There are two spectral sequences associated with $\mathcal{M}^{p,q}$ both converging to $H^*_D(\mathcal{M})$. The first terms of these are:

$$E_1 = H_{\delta}(\mathcal{M}), E_2 = H_d H_{\delta}(\mathcal{M})$$

$$E_1' = H_d(\mathcal{M}), E_2' = H_\delta H_d(\mathcal{M})$$





 $C^{3}(A) = 0 = 0$

 $C^{2}(A) = 0 = 0$

 $C^1(A) = 0 = 0$

 $C^{0}(A) = 0 = 0$





 $H^{3}(A) = 0 = 0$

 $H^2(A) = 0 = 0$

 $H^1(A) = 0 = 0$

 $H^0(A) = 0 = 0$





 $\prod_{\alpha_0} H^3(A_{\alpha_0}) \qquad \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} H^3(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}) \qquad \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} H^3(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}) = \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_2} H^3(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}) = \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} H^3(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}) = \prod_{\alpha$ $\prod_{\alpha_0} H^2(A_{\alpha_0}) \qquad \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} H^2(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}) \qquad \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} H^2(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2})$ $\prod_{\alpha_0} H^1(A_{\alpha_0}) \qquad \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} H^1(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}) \qquad \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} H^1(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2})$ $\prod_{\alpha_0} H^0(A_{\alpha_0}) \qquad \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} H^0(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}) \qquad \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} H^0(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2})$

Inequality I

Let A be a finite simplicial complex and A_1, \ldots, A_n sub-complexes of A such that $A = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$. Then for every $i \ge 0$,

$$b_i(A) \le \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \sum_{J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}, \#(J)=j} b_{i-j+1}(A_J),$$

where $A_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} A_j$.

Inequality II

Let be a real closd field and $V \subset^k$ be the set defined by the conjunction of ℓ inequalities,

$$P_1 \ge 0, \dots, P_\ell \ge 0, P_i \in R[X_1, \dots, X_k],$$
$$deg(P_i) \le d, 1 \le i \le \ell,$$

contained in a variety Z(Q) of real dimension k' with $deg(Q) \le d$. Then, for all $i, 0 \le i \le k'$,

$$b_i(V) \le (3^{\ell} - 1)d(2d - 1)^{k-1}.$$

Graded Bounds

Theorem 2 (*B*, 2001) Let $S \subset R^k$ (resp. $T \subset R^k$) be the set defined by the conjunction (resp. disjunction) of n inequalities,

 $P_1 \ge 0, \dots, P_n \ge 0, P_i \in R[X_1, \dots, X_k], \deg(P_i) \le d, 1 \le i \le n,$

restricted to a variety Z(Q) of real dimension k' with $\deg(Q) \leq d$. Then,

Graded Bounds

Theorem 2 (B, 2001) Let $S \subset R^k$ (resp. $T \subset R^k$) be the set defined by the conjunction (resp. disjunction) of n inequalities,

 $P_1 \ge 0, \dots, P_n \ge 0, P_i \in R[X_1, \dots, X_k], \deg(P_i) \le d, 1 \le i \le n,$

restricted to a variety Z(Q) of real dimension k' with $\deg(Q) \leq d$. Then,

$$b_i(S) \le \sum_{j=0}^{k'-i} \binom{n}{j} 2^{j+1} d(2d-1)^{k-1} = \binom{n}{k'-i} O(d)^k,$$

$$b_i(T) \le \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} \binom{n}{j} 3^j d(2d-1)^{k-1} = \binom{n}{i+1} O(d)^k.$$

Sets defined by Quadratic Inequalities

Theorem 3 (B, 2001) Let ℓ be any fixed number and let $S \subset R^k$ be defined by $P_1 \ge 0, \ldots, P_n \ge 0$ with $\deg(P_i) \le 2$. Then,

$$b_{k-\ell}(S) \le \binom{n}{\ell} k^{O(\ell)}.$$

Sets defined by Quadratic Inequalities

Theorem 3 (B, 2001) Let ℓ be any fixed number and let $S \subset R^k$ be defined by $P_1 \ge 0, \ldots, P_n \ge 0$ with $\deg(P_i) \le 2$. Then,

$$b_{k-\ell}(S) \le \binom{n}{\ell} k^{O(\ell)}.$$

This bound is *polynomial in the dimension* k unlike the O-P-T-M bound which was *single exponential in* k. Notice also that the lowest Betti numbers of S cannot be polynomially bounded. Example: S defined by

 $X_1(X_1-1) \ge 0, \dots, X_k(X_k-1) \ge 0.$

Clearly, in this case, $b_0(S) = 2^k$.

Sets defined by Quadratic Inequalities

Theorem 3 (B, 2001) Let ℓ be any fixed number and let $S \subset R^k$ be defined by $P_1 \ge 0, \ldots, P_n \ge 0$ with $\deg(P_i) \le 2$. Then,

$$b_{k-\ell}(S) \le \binom{n}{\ell} k^{O(\ell)}.$$

This bound is *polynomial in the dimension* k unlike the O-P-T-M bound which was *single exponential in* k. Notice also that the lowest Betti numbers of S cannot be polynomially bounded. Example: S defined by

 $X_1(X_1-1) \ge 0, \dots, X_k(X_k-1) \ge 0.$

Clearly, in this case, $b_0(S) = 2^k$.

Suppose that we can compute an acyclic covering in single exponential time. Then, all $H^i(A_j) = 0, i > 0$.

Suppose that we can compute an acyclic covering in single exponential time. Then, all $H^i(A_j) = 0, i > 0$. Recall that E'_1 is:

$$\Pi_{\alpha_{0}} H^{3}(A_{\alpha_{0}}) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \Pi_{\alpha_{0} < \alpha_{1}} H^{3}(A_{\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1}}) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \Pi_{\alpha_{0} < \alpha_{1} < \alpha_{2}} H^{3}(A_{\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},\alpha_{1}})$$

$$\Pi_{\alpha_{0}} H^{2}(A_{\alpha_{0}}) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \Pi_{\alpha_{0} < \alpha_{1}} H^{2}(A_{\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1}}) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \Pi_{\alpha_{0} < \alpha_{1} < \alpha_{2}} H^{2}(A_{\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},\alpha_{1}})$$

$$\Pi_{\alpha_{0}} H^{1}(A_{\alpha_{0}}) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \Pi_{\alpha_{0} < \alpha_{1}} H^{1}(A_{\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1}}) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \Pi_{\alpha_{0} < \alpha_{1} < \alpha_{2}} H^{1}(A_{\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},\alpha_{1}})$$

$$\Pi_{\alpha_{0}} H^{0}(A_{\alpha_{0}}) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \Pi_{\alpha_{0} < \alpha_{1}} H^{0}(A_{\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1}}) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \Pi_{\alpha_{0} < \alpha_{1} < \alpha_{2}} H^{0}(A_{\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},\alpha_{1}})$$

Suppose that we can compute an acyclic covering in single exponential time. Then, all $H^i(A_j) = 0, i > 0$. Recall that E'_1 is:

Suppose that we can compute an acyclic covering in single exponential time. Then, all $H^i(A_j) = 0, i > 0$. Then, $H_1(A)$ is isomorphic to the middle homology of,

$$\prod_{\alpha_0} H^0(A_{\alpha_0}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} H^0(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} H^0(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2})$$

Deciding Connectivity

Given two points x and y in a set S;

- Decide whether they are in the same connected component of S.
- If yes, construct a path in S joining them.
- (B-Pollack-Roy, 1995) We give an algorithm to solve both problems for semi-algebraic sets restricted to a variety of dimension k' in time,

 $n^{k'+1}d^{O(k^2)}.$

(B-Pollack-Roy, 1997) We also give semi-algebraic descriptions of the connected components in time

 $n^{k+1}d^{O(k^3)}.$

What is a Roadmap ?

A roadmap of *S*, passing through a given set of points, \mathcal{M} , $R(S, \mathcal{M})$, is a semi-algebraic set of dimension at most one containing \mathcal{M} , satisfying:

- 1. for every semi-algebraically connected component C of $S, C \cap R(S, \mathcal{M})$ is non-empty and semi-algebraically connected.
- 2. for every $x \in R$, and for every semi-algebraically connected component C' of S_x , $C' \cap R(S, \mathcal{M})$ is non-empty, where $S_x = S \cap (X_1 = x)$.

In case of a compact, smooth algebraic hypersurface Z(Q) one can obtain the roadmap by:

In case of a compact, smooth algebraic hypersurface Z(Q) one can obtain the roadmap by: Step 1: Follow the X_2 -extremal points in the X_1 direction. Algebraically, follow parametrically the solutions of,

$$Q = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X_3} = \dots = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X_k} = 0.$$

In case of a compact, smooth algebraic hypersurface Z(Q) one can obtain the roadmap by:

Step 2: Recurse at certain special slices corresponding to the critical values of the projection map onto the X_1 co-ordinate.

Algebraically, critical values are the X_1 co-ordinates of the real solutions of the system,

$$Q = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X_2} = \dots = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X_k} = 0.$$

In case of a compact, smooth algebraic hypersurface Z(Q) one can obtain the roadmap by:

Step 3: Recurse also at the X_1 co-ordinates of the input points.

The torus in R^3



Sweep direction

The torus in R^3


The torus in R^3



• Let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be a parametric point.

- Let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be a parametric point.
- Treating *Y* as a parameter we compute a family of polynomials, $\mathcal{L}(Y)$, such that the signs of the polynomials in \mathcal{L} determine the "type" of the connecting path $\Gamma(Y)$ connecting *Y* to a certain distinguished point.

- Let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be a parametric point.
- Treating *Y* as a parameter we compute a family of polynomials, $\mathcal{L}(Y)$, such that the signs of the polynomials in \mathcal{L} determine the "type" of the connecting path $\Gamma(Y)$ connecting *Y* to a certain distinguished point.
- Points satisfying the same sign condition on L lie in the same connected component of S. Thus, each connected component of S can be described by a disjunction of sign conditions on L.

- Let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ be a parametric point.
- Treating *Y* as a parameter we compute a family of polynomials, $\mathcal{L}(Y)$, such that the signs of the polynomials in \mathcal{L} determine the "type" of the connecting path $\Gamma(Y)$ connecting *Y* to a certain distinguished point.
- Points satisfying the same sign condition on L lie in the same connected component of S. Thus, each connected component of S can be described by a disjunction of sign conditions on L.
- For a fixed sign condition σ on \mathcal{L} , the union of the paths $\Gamma(y)$ such that $\operatorname{sign}\mathcal{L}(y) = \sigma$ is contractible.

Single exponential time algorithm for *b*₁

Obtain a covering (of single exponential size) of the given semi-algebraic set using the machinery described.

Single exponential time algorithm for b_1

- Obtain a covering (of single exponential size) of the given semi-algebraic set using the machinery described.
- Using technique developed by Gabrielov and Vorobjov (2003), obtain *closed* contractible sets.

Single exponential time algorithm for b_1

- Obtain a covering (of single exponential size) of the given semi-algebraic set using the machinery described.
- Using technique developed by Gabrielov and Vorobjov (2003), obtain *closed* contractible sets.
- Compute (using the Roadmap Algorithm) the connected components of the pair-wise and triple-wise intersections of the sets in the cover.

Single exponential time algorithm for b_1

- Obtain a covering (of single exponential size) of the given semi-algebraic set using the machinery described.
- Using technique developed by Gabrielov and Vorobjov (2003), obtain *closed* contractible sets.
- Compute (using the Roadmap Algorithm) the connected components of the pair-wise and triple-wise intersections of the sets in the cover.
- $H_1(A)$ by computing the middle homology of,

$$\prod_{\alpha_0} H^0(A_{\alpha_0}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} H^0(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} H^0(A_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2})$$

Algorithm for sets defi ned by quadratic inequalities

For any fixed $\ell > 0$, there is an algorithm which given a set of *n* polynomials,

$$\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\} \subset [X_1, \ldots, X_k],$$

with

 $\deg(P_i) \le 2, 1 \le i \le n,$

computes

 $b_k(S),\ldots,b_{k-\ell}(S),$

where S is the set defined by $P_1 \ge 0, \ldots, P_s \ge 0$. The complexity of the algorithm is

 $s^{\ell+2}k^{2^{O(\ell)}}$

Main Idea

Consider S as the intersection of the various S_i's and consider the double complex arising from the generalized Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence.

Main Idea

- Consider S as the intersection of the various S_i's and consider the double complex arising from the generalized Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence.
- This enables us to reduce the problem of computing the top ℓ Betti numbers of S, to the problem of computing certain complexes, whose homology groups are isomorphic to those of the unions of the S_i 's (taken at most $\ell + 2$ at a time), as well as computing certain natural homomorphisms between these complexes.

Dealing with small unions

Let P_1, \ldots, P_s be homogeneous quadratic polynomials in $R[X_0, \ldots, X_k]$. We denote by

 $P = (P_1, \ldots, P_s) : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}^s.$

Dealing with small unions

Let P_1, \ldots, P_s be homogeneous quadratic polynomials in $R[X_0, \ldots, X_k]$. We denote by

$$P = (P_1, \ldots, P_s) : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}^s.$$

Let

 $\Omega = \{ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^s \mid |\omega| = 1, \omega_i \le 0, 1 \le i \le s \}.$

and for $\omega \in \Omega$ let

$$\omega P = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \omega_i P_i.$$

Unions cont.

• Let $B \subset \Omega \times S^k$ be the set defined by,

 $B = \{(\omega, x) \mid \omega \in \Omega, x \in S^k \text{ and } \omega P(x) \ge 0\}.$



Unions cont.

• Let $B \subset \Omega \times S^k$ be the set defined by,

 $B = \{(\omega, x) \mid \omega \in \Omega, x \in S^k \text{ and } \omega P(x) \ge 0\}.$



The map ϕ_2 gives a homotopy equivalence between B and

 $\phi_2(B) = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \{ x \in S^k | P_i \le 0 \}$

Computing the Leray Spectral Sequence of ϕ_1

• Compute an index invariant semi-algebraic triangulation Δ of Ω in polynomial time (for fixed *s*).

Computing the Leray Spectral Sequence of ϕ_1

- Compute an index invariant semi-algebraic triangulation Δ of Ω in polynomial time (for fixed *s*).
- For any simplex $\sigma \in \Delta(\Omega)$ and $\omega \in \sigma$, $\phi_1^{-1}(\sigma)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\phi_1^{-1}(\omega)$, and both these spaces have the homotopy type of the sphere

 $S^{k-\operatorname{index}(\omega P)}$.

Computing the Leray Spectral Sequence of ϕ_1

- Compute an index invariant semi-algebraic triangulation Δ of Ω in polynomial time (for fixed *s*).
- For any simplex $\sigma \in \Delta(\Omega)$ and $\omega \in \sigma$, $\phi_1^{-1}(\sigma)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\phi_1^{-1}(\omega)$, and both these spaces have the homotopy type of the sphere

 $S^{k-\operatorname{index}(\omega P)}$.

• From this observation we can compute a double complex whose associated to spectral sequence is the Leray spectral sequence of ϕ_1 .

And finally ...

On behalf all the participants, a very big THANK YOU to the organizers, for organizing such a great conference !!